Lexington 9.6:60

that?

DR. BARD: I think you can answer that better than I as a judge.

MR. MELVIN: I haven't given much thought to this last sentence, but I am wondering about the idea of giving the Court of Appeals original jurisdiction in a reapportionment case. It seems to me that would require taking testimony and actually trying a case. I am wondering whether it is necessary to provide it go originally to the Court of Appeals and why not have it come up in the normal way and be tried in a court with a record, and then subject to review by the Court of Appeals?

THE CHAIRMAN: Dr. Bard, do you want to enswer

MR. SCANLAN: I think it is because we wanted to eliminate one of the many steps that are in this process. We have the Legislature, the governor, and then we have to go to the Circuit Court of Anne Arundel County and the Court of Appeals. You would have four tiers. It seems to us that the question of a reapportionment in a situation like that would be of such paramount public importance that the Court of Appeals would be the last authoritative