

1 variations from the established principle. I could not
2 think that this body has the wisdom now to prescribe
3 the maximum limitation variations. The concept of doing
4 it to put at rest litigations does not appeal to me.
5 Evolving problems are always subject to litigation and
6 properly should be subject to litigation. Serious rights
7 are being affected. Now, the minority are entitled to
8 some protection, as well as the majority. The decision
9 will not be made any longer by a malapportioned legisla-
10 ture. The Constitution here provides that it shall be
11 done as nearly as practical on an equal basis, by a
12 legislature which is itself on an equal basis. All I
13 ask is that that question be left for debate in the form
14 of the Legislature and not precluded in the relative ivory^{tower}/
15 atmosphere of this meeting. I do not think that this issue
16 should be disposed of in this fashion. It should be left
17 for principles to evolve and for debate to evolve, coun-
18 tervailing or modifying influences, and I would again urge
19 the position of the Commission on June 20 be sustained.

20 MR. SMITH: It seems to me the purpose is to
21 charge the Legislature with the responsibility for