

1 what I was in favor of stating also, and in fact, I would
2 not even hesitate from stating that we could have in mind
3 in the Constitution, so that regardless of what might
4 happen to Federal interpretation, we have already incor-
5 porated for the safeguard of our own people a population
6 basis, one man, one vote principle in our Constitution.

7 THE CHAIRMAN: Dr. Bard?

8 DR. BARD: Mr. Chairman, may I ask Dr. Winslow,
9 who has been our consultant, to comment on this point?

10 THE CHAIRMAN: Certainly.

11 DR. WINSLOW: Well, I would agree thoroughly, I
12 think, with Mr. Scanlan's position on this. If it is left,
13 without any limitation here, we shall proceed with
14 another generation, I suspect, of court litigation, and
15 those people who feel it undesirable for courts to deal
16 with the question of legislative apportionment and would
17 rather see it done in some other way will have the same
18 problems that they have been having.

19 It seems to me, too, that someplace along the
20 line somebody has got to face up to some facts of life
21 with respect to the existing counties the new apportion-