

1 and I see no reason to limit this to ten per cent. I sug-  
2 gest that the desire to put at rest the debate is not  
3 necessarily prevailing. I realize that this is a contro-  
4 versial matter, that this is a developing concept, and  
5 further that there are going to develop many situations  
6 where the concept of one man, one vote, is going to give  
7 way in terms of the overall equities as unfair and un-  
8 reasonable situations develop. I would again hate to see  
9 this Commission adopt a constitution which we know after 1970  
10 is going to mean that certain counties are without repre-  
11 sentation again unless this matter is thoroughly debated  
12 in concept and in principle, which it has not been, either  
13 here, and I suspect at the Committee level. The only  
14 justification which the Committee poses for reversing the  
15 Commission's position of its June 20 vote is the fact  
16 that they feel there should be some definite necessity.  
17 In an evolving constitutional concept, certainty can be  
18 evil as well as good. I urge the Commission reject this  
19 concept and go back to the position which it took at the  
20 June 20 meeting.

21 THE CHAIRMAN: Any further discussion?