

1 of not more than 150 would constitute any one -- well,
2 if we have two houses, I would like to have it just the
3 way we have here, 43 for the Senate and 150 for the
4 House, and if it were one body, just 150.

5 THE CHAIRMAN: I don't recall who spoke in
6 opposition to this before, and I don't want to have a
7 prolonged debate, but I think both points of view should
8 be stated.

9 JUDGE ADKINS: I spoke in opposition. I think
10 what you are doing, as I said at the last meeting, by
11 this is arbitrarily deciding at this stage that the
12 smaller counties will, after the 1970 census, have no
13 representation. Whether they should or should not have
14 in terms of strict per capita apportionment is a matter
15 that this Commission has not debated. It is a matter
16 that is open to serious debate and challenge, and I sub-
17 mit should not be foreclosed by this kind of a limitation.
18 Statisticians which were brought to this Commission by
19 the Committee at its last meeting clearly indicated that
20 if you impose the limitations suggested here, with the
21 projected growth of the State after the 1970 census,