

1 under some State superintendent of schools, or whatever.  
2 I see problems here, but I can see also where there could  
3 be a way for developing a system.

4 MR. SCANLAN: I think I agree with the two  
5 amendments that have been offered. I agree with the  
6 scepticism that Mr. Sayre has expressed, that we have  
7 enough knowledge to adopt this provision. I think we are  
8 succumbing here again to the temptation to take a worthy  
9 recognition of a present fact and stick it in the Con-  
10 stitution, rather than leaving it in the statute where it  
11 can be developed with the flexibility that time and events  
12 would justify. I vaguely remember some of the early con-  
13 stitutions of the New England States, Dartmouth College,  
14 to some extent Harvard and Brown, got themselves into all  
15 kinds of pickles by recognizing aspects of those univer-  
16 sities in their State Constitutions. I don't see any  
17 real justification for giving the University of Maryland  
18 a constitutional monopoly at this time as the State Univer-  
19 sity. I would move the whole section be deleted.

20 THE CHAIRMAN: Dr. Bard?

21 DR. BARD: I certainly want to concur with