

1 is true in my own town of Salisbury. There is no
2 denial of the right to vote to anybody. There is no
3 limitation on the right to vote to people who own property
4 assessed at a certain value in the city limits on the
5 theory that they are bearing a part of the tax burden
6 and whether they live in or out of the city, I think
7 they should have a voice in management of the city
8 affairs. It isn't restrictive.

9 MR. DELLA: I gathered that from the
10 discussion.

11 MR. MARTINEAU: Just to confuse the issue,
12 Mr. Chairman, I do believe that there are at least one
13 or two towns, Aberdeen is one I am thinking of, that
14 does impose a property qualification on voting. That
15 is the only one I know of. They are having a great
16 debate about eliminating it. It is a restrictive
17 qualification. If you don't own property there, you
18 cannot vote.

19 JUDGE ADKINS: I would be perfectly willing
20 to see the Constitution provided that any election
21 for dog catcher to Governor.