I'm not certain about this, that the reason, of course, we have provisions such as that with reference to State bond issues in the Constitution is because that's the place you have to have it. There is no other place that can impose the restriction, without having it in the Constitution, but I don't see why that is necessary as to counties where the State Legislature by law applicable to all counties can impose such a restriction.

We are putting in many restrictions here in the Constitution with reference to the State Government that we're not putting in with reference to the political subdivisions because there isn't any need to do it. The Legislature can impose these restrictions, and I wonder wouldn't it be just as much a safeguard to rely on the Legislature to pass a law putting restrictions on the use of the county borrowing power and we wouldn't have to put it in the Constitution?

MR. CASE: I could answer that very simply. Obviously, if the general law is going to control or restrict the powers of the county, the answer to your question is it would be, but the answer to it is that it's very easy to get