2 3

1

5

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

tie, then what harm is there in saying so? I would like to hear some discussion, because this is quite a departure from our present practice.

JUDGE ADKINS: I will speak to that as far as the Committee is concerned. First of all in relation to the Federal Covernment, it seemed to us that the possibility, mathematical possibility of a tie vote there is substantially greater in view of the electoral college and freedom of the electoral college to vote more on less as they choose; surely within the concept of the framers of the Constitution so the possibility of getting a tie vote among 50 people is much greater than among 200,000 people, so that we didn't feel it necessary to follow the procedure prescribed in the Federal Constitution. It seemed to us that the mathematical probability of having a tie vote among the two to three hundred thousand votes cast was so completely remote that it did not justify a detailed section of the Constitution providing for its solution. We could be wrong.

Even a sentence? MR. CASE:

JUDGE ADKINS: We have got a sentence, shall