

1 is so closely tied in with the administration of the  
2 courts that it ought to be determined within the court  
3 system who can best be spared to sit for however long  
4 he may have to sit.

5 You name the Supreme Court as the group to  
6 try it. You disrupt their work. You let the Legislature  
7 name the judges ad hoc, then you undermine the confidence.  
8 If you allow the naming to be done by the Supreme  
9 Court, which would rely upon the chief justice and the  
10 administrative judge of the Superior Court, it seems to  
11 me that you get a judicial type tribunal while at the same  
12 time impairing as little as possible the orderly  
13 performance of the judicial function in the state.

14 THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any further discussion?

15 MR. MITCHELL: Mr. Chairman, I think that  
16 the Supreme Court should not in cases of impeachment,  
17 particularly of its own members or any of its own  
18 members, be the final tribunal.

19 MR. SYKES: It wouldn't.

20 MR. MITCHELL: It would be if they designate  
21 the court to hear it. If it is a ten-judge panel selected