THE CHAIRMAN: No, that could be more than style.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  MARTINEAU: We at least didn't split the infinitive.

THE CHAIRMAN: Section 12.

MR. MARTINEAU: As I said, Section 12 is rewritten in an attempt to meet the votes taken at the last meeting of the Commission. The first sentence, I believe, is exactly the same and also the second sentence is the same. Third sentence was changed from requiring that a pension be provided to merely providing that a pension, once it is granted, may not be reduced. So the language we are proposing says: Any provision for the payment of a pension to a retired judge or his surviving spouse, in effect during his continuance in office, shall not be reduced. This would mean that whatever the pension, the highest the pension would be while he was in office, that would be the pension to which he was entitled during the rest of his life and his widow for the rest of her life. It does not require that a pension be granted, which was the vote of the Commission

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21