

1 so that we would, if we felt it wise, not inadvertently  
2 adopt a provision in the Constitution which would in any  
3 way impede any kind of reorganization that might go  
4 through desirable. Is that about right?

5 JUDGE ADKINS: That is exactly right. We  
6 have had only one meeting with their executive secretary  
7 and as the Chairman suggests, they are in a very formative  
8 stage, but generally speaking their job, taking the  
9 language that Dr. Bard referred to, for example, their  
10 job would be to prescribe, if for example, we were to adopt  
11 a model constitution language, their job would then be  
12 to prescribe what the 20 departments would be and how  
13 the various functions of the State would be grouped  
14 within those departments. That is not, however, in our  
15 thinking, a matter for the Constitution. All we are  
16 trying to do is to get sufficiently broad enabling language  
17 to permit a commission like the Curlette Commission or  
18 succeeding commissions to reorganize as the needs of  
19 the State indicate.

20 DR. TEMPLETON: I assume we would not be too  
21 timid or indulge in foot-dragging because of that