The issue is whether the representative government has to be mandated in the Constitution, not whether it should be or shouldn't be and to have an effective regional government, of course, you will have to have representative government because you have to have the power to tax. We have already determined that only elected representatives can levy it but why can't we leave that to the practicalities that face the Legislature when it sets up regional government rather than demand it in the Constitution which might cause all kinds of unintended results.

MR. BROOKS: First to answer Judge Adkins question, the problem of the primary distinction between regional governments and authorities is the taxing power power. Although both of them overlap in the area of revenue collecting authority, I think the real question is what foot are these to get off on in that and though a government, meaning it will have executive and legislative powers, can be very small. It can be composed of four, six, or eight or one hundred representatives. The fact is if we want it to have only two powers, say