

1 of the United States does give appointive power to the
2 president, and fixes the confirmation in the Senate. I
3 am not absolutely sure how I would ultimately stand.
4 I would be open to persuasion about this confirmation
5 by the Senate. I am not at all convinced that it would
6 be necessary to separate confirmation of the Senate and
7 service at the will of the Governor. We have precisely
8 that situation in the Government of the United States.
9 Indeed we have court decisions which hold that the
10 tenure of office act as passed in the Andrew Johnson
11 Administration was unconstitutional. We have a pattern
12 wherein dismissal can take place. I sincerely approve
13 even if necessary to say so but I would think it would
14 be possible to say in the constitution if there is any
15 doubt about it that such officers serve at the will of
16 the Governor, but also provide that they be confirmed
17 by the Senate. I don't know that I don't have definitive
18 views. I would support the thought that they serve at
19 the will of the Governor. I think I would lean toward
20 confirmation by the Senate, if for no other reason than
21 to prevent such appointments as you might have referred