

1 rigidity and have exactly the same form of government,
2 in all areas and regions of the State. One area today
3 may be in prime need of some form of regional govern-
4 ment and that need may not be felt in another area of
5 the State for years, if at all.

6 Therefore, we are agreed that the regional
7 governments can differ. They need not be complete
8 governments. They can be governments that are limited
9 in scope, that, for instance, deal only with land
10 use, planning, or they deal with sewers or deal with
11 transit or other specific problems or a combination of
12 them. We are all agreed also that if in any one area
13 it is desirable to solve on an areawide basis a particular
14 problem or group of problems, it should be solved by
15 the means of mechanics of one governmental unit, that
16 you should not have in an area or overlapping areas a
17 sewer authority, a transit authority, a water authority,
18 a planning authority and so forth. With those views
19 held unanimously, where are the differences in the
20 approach of the groups that boil down to a very few
21 fundamental differences. First, in the area of changing