

1 has effect in two Counties, and if you say that it has
2 to apply in its terms and in its effects to all Counties
3 or to all Counties of class, and it only applies in its
4 effects to two Counties, I wonder if you aren't
5 creating problems?

6 MR. CLAGETT: It would still be in its effects.

7 MR. SYKES: It would be, but as of the
8 time it passed, it doesn't. I just question whether
9 the phrase doesn't confuse more than it helps. It is
10 enough that the law is applicable to all Counties or
11 all Counties in a class.

12 THE CHAIRMAN: I think the difficulty comes
13 from the language used by the Court of Appeals in so
14 many decisions discussing this question of public gen-
15 eral laws and public local laws.

16 MR. SYKES: You say it is applicable without
17 exception to all Counties in the State or all Counties in
18 a class. I am afraid of the distinction because the only
19 reason you could possibly have for saying both of them is
20 to make a distinction between the two. That causes
21 trouble.