

1 compromise between the two points of view, the degree
2 of inflexibility suggested by Mr. Case and the degree
3 of unlimited flexibility suggested by Mr. Sykes, and
4 here you have a compromise in between the two points
5 of view. Now, I do suggest to you, this: The whole
6 emphasis in our constitutional deliberations has been
7 to revitalize and place the Legislature in its proper
8 sphere of responsibility. If that is true, no big
9 County is going to be able to swallow up any small County
10 without having the approval of that Legislature, and that
11 Legislature is going to be interested in protecting
12 the policy of the State as a whole, and not to yield
13 to the ambitions of a large County to swallow up a
14 small County. For that reason, I would hope that the
15 motion would fail and since the counter, the opposite
16 view will later be voted upon, that also would fail,
17 and the compromise either adopted --

18 JUDGE ADKINS: I would like to ask Mr. Clagett
19 the question of who would protect the small County after
20 the 1970 census when it is perfectly clear there will be
21 small Counties in this State who have no voice in the