

1 can go back and further consider it for clarification,
2 but I would suggest that this gives what we are trying
3 to do, namely, a degree of protection to the area affected
4 where it is less than whole reorganization.

5 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Case?

6 MR. CASE: Mr. Chairman, I am disturbed,
7 really, about the possibility of big Counties taking
8 smaller Counties over without an approval of the majority
9 of the voters in the County that is taken over. Now,
10 as I understand it, in all legal combinations except
11 this one that I ever heard about, the corporate situa-
12 tions, for example, where you either have a consolidation
13 or a merger, it is necessary before this can be made
14 legal, to have a percentage, usually two-thirds, but
15 certainly a majority of the vote of each of the entities
16 which are to be taken over.

17 Now this situation, though, departs from that
18 general principle and says in effect that if, that a
19 majority of the smaller units can be opposed to be taken
20 over and yet they can be swallowed up. If you keep mul-
21 tiplying this as Bob Martineau suggested to me a few