

1 limit it to a single bill.

2 THE CHAIRMAN: But if you did that separately
3 by separate bills under this concept of the Committee,
4 each would then be subject to a separate local referendum
5 of a different group of people, so it would be exceedingly
6 hazardous for one to accomplish or try to accomplish a
7 composite change by that manner. At least, that is my
8 understanding of the position of the Committee.

9 MR. CLAGETT: That is correct. Actually, the
10 Committee, or this represents a compromise of the think-
11 ing of the Committee which really had as its opposite
12 extremes no referendum whatsoever in order to give
13 complete flexibility insofar as boundary change and a
14 degree of flexibility in order to protect against such
15 inflexibility, and by reason of the desire to give expres-
16 sion to different factors that I think are clearly en-
17 compassed in the thinking of this Section, we arrived at
18 the restraint and the lack of restraint.

19 THE CHAIRMAN: Now, Mr. Miller.

20 MR. MILLER: I just wanted to insert a word of
21 warning to whoever rewords this. It just so happens I