

1 bill.

2 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Hargrove?

3 MR. HARGROVE: Wouldn't that hold true also
4 for the first sentence because it seems to me if we are
5 just talking about the second and third sentences, you
6 would also have two referendums, possibly have two
7 referendums and the rest of it because conceivably if
8 you had four Counties consolidate into one, you would
9 have a similar situation, wouldn't you? If you created
10 a new County as opposed to the boundaries, wouldn't
11 that be true?

12 THE CHAIRMAN: I think this is true. I am
13 afraid this is a problem of drafting that we can't accom-
14 plish in this large a meeting. Is it the intent of the
15 Committee, and we can have the Commission decide if it
16 agrees with it, that the first two sentences of this
17 paragraph provide for situations where there shall be a
18 local referendum, but if a situation covered by the third
19 sentence is involved, you will have a State wide refer-
20 endum but no local referendum.

21 MR. CLAGETT: That is the intent of the Committee.