

1 I think that clarifies so that if the lines, if you are
2 going to change the lines and then how many Counties
3 are going to be affected, and then you get down to more
4 than three, I think that tends to clarify.

5 MR. MILLER: I understood what you meant, but
6 it might open up litigation. That is the only point.

7 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Clagett, it seems to me
8 that Mr. Miller's point is well taken, as I understand
9 it. He is suggesting that if you make a change in, say
10 Dorchester, Worcester and Wicomico, transferring some
11 of the land out of Wicomico to Dorchester and some to
12 Worcester -- you have to have a fourth County in there --
13 put Talbot in, would you then have to have a State wide
14 referendum and a local referendum for each of the Counties
15 losing territory?

16 MR. CLAGETT: No, the Committee in that
17 situation requires only a State wide referendum.

18 THE CHAIRMAN: But your second sentence would
19 seem to require also the referendum of the people in
20 Wicomico who are going to be transferred to Dorchester
21 or Worcester.