all of the political scientists in this country who have specialized at all in the field of State government favor the adoption of a unicameral system of legislation. The few that are on the other side are conspicuous. Now, this doesn't make it profitable, but it does put some quality, I think, on proposition. If we were to do what was suggested here a few minutes ago, to follow tradition because we don't know where we will end up, if we depart from tradition, I suggest that this Commission is wrong from the beginning for the reason that for forty years or so, the political scientists of this country have been saying our State Constitutions are too long. They say they ought to be brief and to the point. They are just now, constitutional conventions and constitutional writers are just now beginning to follow this advice.

If we were to follow tradition, we would have kept the same old long Constitution that we have had.

I should like to say only one other thing. As has been pointed out with the disappearance of a different basis of representation in the House and Senate, the chief

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21