

1 system that the States had, had no necessary relation
2 to the Federal analogy. He said no principle is involved
3 in this, but tempering legislation, taking a second look.
4 This was the original basis for the original bicameral
5 and on that point, the record should be clear.

6 Secondly, I noticed a number of States have
7 had this problem in recent years, particularly the States
8 of Alaska, Hawaii, Michigan, Kentucky and Rhode Island,
9 I don't believe any one of those States has elected to
10 adopt in their new Constitutions the bicameral system.
11 In point of fact, I think it far more important to have --
12 whatever the form of the Legislature, the more important
13 thing is that it be efficient. You could have a bicameral
14 Legislature with modern powers of investigation, with
15 staggered terms, with continued sessions, with strong
16 committee systems, which would be far better and far more
17 efficient than a unicameral Legislature that didn't have
18 the things mentioned.

19 A bicameral Legislature with all these modern
20 reforms in opposition to a unicameral Legislature with
21 all these modern reforms -- what would I say? In the end