on the Federal level but in the whole thumping majority of the State, the system we have had is a good system. It is the best ever devised and one of the fairest and can show a lot to be said about the unicameral system, it isn't proven as is the present system of two chanbers.

One thing I think that people, if we had just one body with forty or fifty members representing the whole State, if there is a best quality, it wouldn't ruin anything to have it done that way, but those men would have a tremendous burden with only forty or fifty legislators for the whole State, it would practically and particularly as we were talking of limited sessions, it would practically mean that everybody had to serve en several committees, and the result would be that the committee activity would be greatly hampered, in my opinion.

One other point that I think is very important, and it certainly has been true, both on the State and on the Federal level, is that the legislative body gets a great deal of benefit from just the man on the street, the fellow that comes in and says, What are you going to do about this, or, You ought to do that. Well,