19

20 21 subject to any motion to amend or substitute other
language and still remains subject to those motions. If
the motion had originally arisen on a question of approving the Section, the ruling may have been different,
but it seems to me that the motion is therefore authorized.

I would like to appeal the rul-MRS. BOTHE: ing and point out the practice of this Commission has been not to entertain motions in favor of the Committee's recommendation, but to let them go as proposed unless a Member proposes deletion or change. It was under those circumstances that the posture of the motion was before this Commission; and for that reason, the vote was very obviously one to retain the Section. It was so understood. The Chairman, before the lunch recess, pointed out that the Commission should regard the suggestion of the Chairman and of the Committee in taking its vote on reconsideration. I am sure that every one of us who were here at the time and those who still remain, understood when they voted on that motion that they were voting in favor of retention of Section 3 as proposed by the Committee.