

1 what has happened was, we passed a motion with respect
2 to a Section that contained only language relating to
3 the University of Maryland. That was amended to include
4 language relating to the State colleges. Now, the Chair
5 ruled that the matter would be open to further amend-
6 ment and the amendment, as I understand, Mr. Scanlan is
7 now authorized to conform the Section or to act upon
8 the Section with an overall view in light of the amend-
9 ment which has already been made. I don't see how, when
10 you make an amendment which is germane to the Section,
11 you can shut off further amendment which is also germane
12 to the Section and which is needed, because a Section
13 which had previously dealt with only one subject now
14 deals with two.

15 THE CHAIRMAN: I am ready to make my ruling,
16 and anybody can appeal the ruling who desires to do so.
17 The question with respect to Section 3 after the recon-
18 sideration arose, not on the motion to approve the
19 Section, but on a motion to delete the Section. The Sec-
20 tion, therefore, remains before the Commission for action
21 merely as a recommendation of the Commission. It was