of these suggestions that would eliminate the implication of complete autonomy?

THE CHAIRMAN: I am not sure that we can consider all of them, but if this motion is defeated, then any motions to amend Section 3 will, of course, be presented by anybody who desires to do so. If the motion is passed, then I suppose one could offer any one or more of these as substitutes. It would not be amendments because Section 3 would not be there, but I would assume one way or the other, the substance of some of these proposals at least could be put before the Commis-

Mrs. Bothe?

MRS. BOTHE: I wasn't going to make any comment, and this won't be long, but since Mr. Scanlan has spoken and our Monorable Chairman also, I thought there were perhaps a few things that should be said. The first, of course, which was debated at the last meeting were the implications of Section 3, and the word, autonomy is not used literally in that Section; one, because its meaning is ambiguous and two, because there was no

 sion.