imply that anybody is in favor of the lottery. I want that clearly understood. A lottery cannot be a supplier of public revenues with any degree of assurance. There is one lottery in this country today, in the State of New Hampshire which is a financial failure, and people who rely upon the lottery are afraid lotteries will hotebe and allowed because they will lose a lot of money, /are taking the people down the primrose path.

I think if I might take a minute of your time to read to you the very interesting, the summation of a very interesting article which is very recent, appearing in last month's issue of The Taxes, which is a tax magazine and written by an economist in New Hampshire.

The article is called, The Lottery as a Source of Public Revenue. He approached this from the economic as wellas social ground and particularly did he approach it on the question of the economic value or utility of money. It proved conclusively that a lottery is a delusion and a snare which should be avoided. He says, and I am quoting, The lottery as a substitute for or additional to usual sources of public revenue not only fails to