19

20

21

1

as well as to the giving or issuing of lotterygrants. The principal witness at our hearing, I guess everybody knows who that was, who came complete with television cameras from three stations, to wit, Mr. Hyman Pressman, argued with some degree of persuasiveness, I thought. that the word, lottery grant, did not proscribe the State, and indeed, the State could at any time conduct a lottery under the present Constitution. But he strongly suggested that this matter was not one of constitutional dimension, and that the question of whether or not there should be a lottery in the State and run by the State as a way or method of gaining revenues for the State should in the last analysis be left to the Legislature and should, therefore, be removed as an absolute prohibition from the Constitution.

Now, there is just no question about the fact that a lottery is a substitute or a method of financing government. It is something that is evasive as anything can be, and really it is for this reason that I think every man on the Committee opposes a lottery and the recommendation that is being made here does not mean to