

1 MR. SCANLAN: I think the phrase, may be
2 based on, is less permissive than the phrase, may include,
3 and I think he may be drawing the more narrow proviso.

4 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Miller?

5 MR. MILLER: I don't follow too much this
6 argument about classes and subclasses. It would seem
7 to me in our effort to shorten things if we just struck
8 out the first two words on the next to last line and
9 went on as provided by law and may include property, et
10 cetera. Then, that would include all the classes you
11 want to put it to.

12 MR. SYKES: If Mrs. Bothe will make her motion
13 again, I think maybe I would second it.

14 THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any further discussion?

15 MR. MILLER: I will move to substitute an
16 amendment to just strike out, such classes, the first two
17 words on the next to the last line.

18 THE CHAIRMAN: Is there a second to the sub-
19 stitute?

20 DR. BURDETTE: I would be inclined to second
21 that. I am a little afraid of this language.

~~THE CHAIRMAN: Is there a second for the~~