1 2

3 4

5 6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15 16

> 17 18

19

20

21

against the redundancy, but there is an intentional redundancy for reasons I have stated.

DR. BURDETTE: You see the purpose of the redundancy is to give the Legislature a hint of the intent of the framer.

> THE CHAIRMAN: Right.

DR. BURDETTE: Well, if you are just going to take the redundancy in its simplest term, it says, agricultural property may be put in with urban property which still is possible under this arrangement, but if it says no more than that, it almost says no more than that to me. It doesn't quite clearly say. It says. may be separate from urban property. Unless we get in subclasses, but even then, I think it almost needs some language as, may include separate classification of property devoted to agricultural or open space usage. But that is not legal language.

MR. SYKES: Mr. Chairman, I point out that the current language of the Constitution speaks about the assessment on the basis of a certain type of use, and that the language I suggested would carry forward much