1 2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

Court Reporters

THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion.

Mr. Bond?

MR. BOND: I apologize for being late, but I was on the Committee that considered this language. and therefore, I am asking your indulgence while I do discuss the matter a little bit. On our point, Mr. Eney, about the limiting language to agricultural, I have two comments. First, you have the word, may, which it seems to me is permissive and can go a lot further and second, again on the matter of public policy, on how the people have spoken and the political nuances which surround this proposed new Constitution, Mr. Case, I am sure has given the history of the desires of the people of this State that agriculture be given a specific niche in the Constitution. They haven't spoken as to openspaces, although I think it is very commendable. A perfect example is the area across from Mount Vernon which could go into smokestacks if we are not careful. I wonder about -we may have something other than open spaces which probably hasn't occurred to other Members of the Commission, and I feel it might be better to leave it as pro-