classification of agricultural land. I think if we make it clear in our work book and records that out intention was not to be, repeal Article 43 in reference to agricultural differentiation, that this would suffice, and that we need not clutter up the Constitution with it. Besides, I think, long before the Constitution wears out that this whole question of extra taxation for subdivisions is going to be inaccurate. It isn't like paupers, taxed, and I hate to see something of this kind go into a streamlined Constitution. For that reason, I will move the last phrase be deleted. THE CHAIRMAN: I will put your motion down, but I did not mean to suggest that the phrase doesn't add anything. I think as to agricultural use, there is. Is there a second? Any second? The motion fails for lack of second.

Mr. Sykes, did you want to make any further comment with respect, or a motion with respect to your suggested language?

MR. SYKES: I would like to move that the last sentence be rewritten and put a period after the word, law.

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21