1 La 2 th 3 ar 4 sa 5 no 6 ru 7 15

Later on, this matter was picked up in another decision by the Court of Appeals, called Rogan versus Calvert County, and the Court of Appeals held in that case or seemingly said in that case that Article 15 applies to taxes and not to assessments, and this led to the Attorney General ruling, a very long and extended opinion, that Article 15 didn't apply to assessments so that you could have uneven assessments within a given area, that it only applied to the tax rate, taxes.

This is perfect nonsense. The way to make the tax system fair is to have the base fair, the assessment fair. Once the assessment is fair, the rest will fall together. It was not until, I guess the Alsop case was it, that this was straightened out. In the Gales case, Jay Brohm, who, of course, is a very smart guy, put this whole issue back in proper focus by saying that the Court would have been all wrong and the Attorney General had been wrong if what they said was, in effect, that Article 15 shouldn't apply to assessments. He said really assessments is the heart of the tax program. We want this is to make it clear that/the equality of the assessment.