20

21

didn't have that, wouldn't have to have it?

MR. CASE: As to City taxes, wouldn't have to have it.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mrs. Bothe?

MRS. BOTHE: On this agricultural use inclusion, is that being put in there only to show our respect to the present Constitution, or in other words, could agricultural land be classified as such without this language being in it?

MR. CASE: Under the draft, it could be.

MRS. BOTHE: In other words, the purpose is simply to quiet the fears of those who don't believe it?

MR. CASE: Correct. It is certainly a purpose.

THE CHAIRMAN: Any further questions?

Mr. Clagett?

MR. CLAGETT: However, this language does not apply to the active use to which the land is being devoted, and it actually does permit ownership being the criteria rather than the actual use of the land?

MR. CASE: If the Legislature sets it aside.

MR. CLAGETT: That is not so good.