

1 I assume that what is intended here or at least what this  
2 sentence as drafted means to me is that notwithstanding  
3 the exercise by either House of the Legislature by a two-  
4 thirds vote of the power to compel the attendance of wit-  
5 nesses and the production of documents, it will be a nullity  
6 unless the Legislature has previously passed some law,  
7 general law, providing for the protection of rights of  
8 witnesses and their records and papers.

9 Now, if that is the intention of the Commission,  
10 well and good. If it is not, then it seems to me that the  
11 existing language needs substantial clarification. Judge  
12 Adkins is the mover of the other motions. Let me ask you  
13 first if that was your intention? In other words, that in  
14 any given instance, even though two-thirds of either House  
15 or of both Houses seek to compel by resolution John Jones  
16 to appear before a specific Committee on a particular day,  
17 the action will be a nullity unless by law theretofore  
18 passed there is some provision for the protection of such  
19 a person appearing before such a Committee.

20 JUDGE ADKINS: Well, I don't understand that the  
21 protection needs to extend to the protection of the