contempt is creating a rather awkward situation. A man may he harassed by the Legislature by what he may rightfully feel is an infringement upon his private rights or private matters and if he is told to come and fails to come, he doesn't know whether he's going to be held for contempt or not.

I think that the language proposed by Judge

Adkins is more protective of the individual than having him

simply wait and see whether he's going to be held in con
tempt by failing to appear.

THE CHAIRMAN: Any further discussion? Mr. Sykes?

MR. SYKES: I have a suggestion that Judge 12 Adkins may consider, that might do what everybody here has 13 been talking about, and that would be the Section would read, 14 Each house may provide for the issuance of a subpoena for 15 the attendance and testimony of witnesses and the production 16 of records and papers and so on, down through the end of 17 that sentence, and then the following sentence would be 18 added, A witness shall not be in contempt for failure to 19 testify or produce records unless specifically directed to 20 do so by a two-thirds vote of the House concerned. 21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11