

1 in matters in which they have personal interest, a subpoena
2 is required.

3 THE CHAIRMAN: Let me have a question or two in
4 clarification of the motion. I take it, Judge Adkins, that
5 your motion would not require that the Section be rephrased
6 to meet your motion so as to prevent the Legislature from
7 requiring the attendance of heads or persons in the Adminis-
8 trative Departments of the Government, as is provided for
9 in the Executive Department draft or in the Finance Committee
10 draft?

11 JUDGE ADKINS: Certainly not. I would have
12 thought that that is covered by other provisions of this
13 document.

14 THE CHAIRMAN: I would take it that implicit in
15 your motion is the idea that specific action to authorize
16 the issuance of the subpoena in any particular case must
17 be taken and by extraordinary vote? In other words, you
18 are not suggesting that the Legislature could by an extra-
19 ordinary vote pass a general law applicable generally in
20 the future?

21 JUDGE ADKINS: That's exactly right.