

1 THE CHAIRMAN: Well, let me do this. I don't
2 want to take the time on a matter of style, but I think it's
3 important to have the matter, the principle fairly under-
4 stood and then let the Committee on Style wrestle with it.
5 I understand that it is the position of this Commission that
6 the plan referred to in this Section is a plan that could
7 embrace congressional districting, legislative districting,
8 legislative apportionment.

9 Is there any dissent from that? Then the
10 Committee on Style could wrestle with it.

11 I'd like, however, to ask another question with
12 reference to the same matter, so the Committee on Style
13 will have no uncertainty.

14 This sentence refers to a plan for districting
15 and legislative apportionment, as though a plan had to em-
16 brace all of these things. I take it that that is not
17 necessarily true?

18 DR. BARD: No, it is not true.

19 MR. SCANLAN: Hopefully, it wouldn't be true.
20 Hopefully, there would be at least two plans.

21 THE CHAIRMAN: So, this should be phrased or could