I think there should be some manifestations to the 1 challenge to the new statute to be timely. 2 THE CHAIRMAN: Great is the power of the advocate. 3 I thought you would have argued just to the contrary, be-4 cause that argument would have completely defeated you in 5 your fair representation case, because it certainly was not 6 filed within any particular time. The plan for apportion-7 ment had been in effect for a long, long time. 8 MR. SCANLAN: That is correct, but this is a new 9 10 system we're starting here. 11 THE CHAIRMAN: Dr. Bard? MR. MILLER: Of course, it seems to me. Mr. 12 Chairman, that timely doesn't mean anything much. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Let me interrupt a minute. There 14 was a suggestion to the Chair there ought to be a motion. 15 Before we move, may I remind you that DR. BARD: - 16 in our Sixth minutes we had, Upon petition of any eligible 17 voter filed no later than ten days after the enactment of a 18 plan or after the final date for action set forth above, 19 whichever first occurs, and the Commission felt that this 20 was a rather involved statement and this is why we changed

21