The Supreme Court shall have original jurisdiction to review the districting and legislative apportionment of the State and, if it finds that the same are not constitutional -- we added that -- to grant an appropriate relief. Those were the words added at the recommendation of the Commission.

THE CHAIRMAN: Any comment or questions? The next Section.

DR. BARD: We move then to Section 7, and we hear we will have --

THE CHAIRMAN: May I go back a moment, Dr. Bard? I have a note and should have asked you about it. In connection with Section 5, in the last sentence, you say, Upon timely petition, and I realize that that word was inserted after a discussion at a last Meeting, but I wonder if it isn't going to be just a trouble maker and wonder why we care whether there is any reference to the time when the petition is filed? Could this be left to the Supreme Court?

for the Court to do anything effectively, I would say so, if it has taken effect, but the Court still thinks it could

I mean by this, that if the Petition is too late

Court Reporters

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

upset it, what is gained by saying timely petition?