Isn't this implicit?

Б

JUDGE ADKINS: We thought it was, I won't say essential. We certainly thought it was helpful in view of the reference later to the power of the Governor to appoint chief administrative officers in addition to the power to appoint chief executive officers.

 $$\operatorname{DR}, \operatorname{JENKINS}: \ I \ \operatorname{don}^1 t \ \operatorname{feel} \ \operatorname{strongly} \ \operatorname{about} \$  it. It seems to me to be unnecessary.

JUDGE ADKINS: We would prefer to see the language left in, in view of the later impact.

DR. BURDETTE: One I don't understand is does this mean, for instance, that some means of choosing this officer could be set up by law so that the Governor could not reorganize? What does it mean?

JUDGE ADKINS: Well, may I use a concrete example? It means, for example, that if the Racing Commission is headed by a board of three, as I think it currently is, that the Legislature could provide that while --

MR. CLAGETT: There are five.