

1 Commission. I might say, however, that I believe that
2 all of the objections raised by the letter have either
3 been considered by the Committee in its committee
4 meetings or have been considered by this Commission
5 in considering the report of the Judiciary Committee
6 and I don't believe that there is any new matter in here
7 which should be considered except perhaps one thing.
8 This is going to be handled by a modification of a comment
9 in our report. One of the items mentioned was whether
10 the, in each county or in each administrative district,
11 however, the court system is set up, there should be,
12 whether there would be some Judge in that jurisdiction
13 who would have some sort of control over the Judges of
14 the court. In other words, a sub-Chief Judge or
15 presiding Judge or a president Judge or whatever you
16 want to call him.

17 In other words, someone to take the place
18 of the Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench who would
19 have some authority with respect to the particular
20 city or the particular county. Now it seems to me that
21 there is no reason to mention such an authority in the