

1 simply the Legislature could not provide a system of
2 pensions for Judges in one session and then at the
3 next session cut them in half. I am not talking about
4 the elimination of the restriction on practice of law
5 while receiving the pension.

6 MR. GENTRY: It can be worded that way,
7 yes, I would agree to that.

8 THE CHAIRMAN: Judge Adkins, would that meet
9 your point?

10 JUDGE ADKINS: So long as it is completely
11 permissive.

12 THE CHAIRMAN: Permissive except that once
13 adopted, it could not then be reduced except for
14 reasons like the practice of law. Would that meet your
15 point, Mr. Martineau?

16 MR. MARTINEAU: No, it would not.

17 THE CHAIRMAN: I understood it would not
18 meet your whole objection. Would it meet your last
19 point?

20 MR. MARTINEAU: It would meet that narrow
21 point but that, of course, is meeting the minor point,