1 2 Section 7, that the Chief Judge of the Superior Court who prepares the budget ought to be tied in.

MR. MARTINEAU:

3, 4

5 6

7

8 9 10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

THE CHAIRMAN: I think it does tie in. This is in the Section 52 provision that was discussed yesterday. It says the budget for the Judiciary Department prepared by the Superior Court may be submitted.

The Subsection 3 which is on Page 13 of the Finance Committee's report provides that the estimates of appropriation is for, and skipping to the judiciary, for the judiciary as required by law certified by the Chief Judge of the Superior Court shall be transmitted to the Governor in such form and in such manner as he shall direct and shall be included in the budget without revision. So they have picked up the idea as to who is preparing it. Of course, they have changed the concept of whether the budget is subject to revision by the executive or not. The results of what has been done, our original proposal was that the judiciary budget was subject to revision by the Legislature, not by the executive, the result of the action of the Commission in approving this report