

1 it, the draft of a provision providing some form of
2 autonomy for the University of Maryland, constitutional
3 autonomy, something less than the absolute autonomy
4 presently in Section 3.

5 JUDGE ADKINS: That is the substance. May I
6 say by way of explanation that I asked during the course
7 of the discussion if the Committee was interested in
8 having some reference to the University of Maryland in
9 the Constitution? Without going to the full extent of
10 Section 3, their answer at that time was No, and in
11 accordance with that answer, I voted for Mr. Scanlan's
12 motion. I do not favor autonomy. If there is something
13 less than full autonomy that can be helpful in the
14 situation, I might be prepared to accept it. I do not think
15 that that opportunity ought to be foreclosed on an 11 to
16 10 vote.

17 THE CHAIRMAN: Any further discussion?

18 MR. MILLER: I approve of the principle, but
19 why should we open the gates for some of the Members
20 here that may feel strongly about this one way or another
21 and may not be able to attend the next meeting; and we