MR. SCANLAN: I just want to repeat Mr. Bond's point about seven Constitutions referring to a State Constitution, but only four of those Constitutions grant autonomy of the type sought here. There is no question about the wisdom of the statutory autonomy under which the University of Maryland has flourished. As Governor Lane suggested, if it isn't sufficient, an amendment could accomplish everything accomplished here.

As a matter of fact, converting the University into a constitutional monopoly and assuming the proposition that Mr. Hoff referred to, that a man not in sympathy with the educational objectives would become its president, it is entirely possible that freedom of debate, factual freedom of thought and exchange might diminish under constitutional autonomy. In short, constitutional autonomy neither makes or mars a great university, and there is no need for it in the Constitution.

THE CHAIRMAN: Ready for the question? The question arises on the motion to delete Section 3; after we take the vote I will give an opportunity to any who want to, to make a statement in explanation of their