MRS. BOTHE: I would think certainly they could. They could impose any restrictions or conditions on employment that they felt were necessary and advisable.

MR. MINDEL: In reverse, you have here, there shall administer their affairs in accordance with law.

If the law provides an oath, could the Regents say, We will not require?

MRS. BOTHE: If the taking of an oath by any governmental employee were constitutionally prohibited, I think that would supersede the right of any agency, no matter what its constitutional or statutory independence might be to override the Constitution.

Section 3, I believe, Mr. Mindel, you may have misread, because when we speak of, in accordance with law, we write that in conjunction with the latter phrase, and the intention, and I believe we are correct in assuming that it would be so construed as that the law would be circumscribed, that the Legislature could not pass a law which interfered with the rights of the Regents to have these rights of general supervision and control. They could only pass laws which did not enter