Committee.

1

2

3

4

5

/i **7**

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

I feel very strongly about JUDGE ADKINS: this question of representation at least one from each county. I realize the problem of getting too large a group but it seems to me we should not arbitrarily set limits which foreclose the possibility of a solution to that problem at this time. I don't see why that question can't be debated in the forum of the period with the 1970 census figures before us and not establish limits now which can possibly foreclose the question from a constitutional point of view. I think there is no magic in 150 opposed to 43. Maybe you should have one for each group. I think it is arbitrary to establish limits now which the Committee concedes may not be enough to give one representative to each political subdivision. If there were any all prevailing reasons why these figures were adopted. I might feel different, but I see no reason why this matter, as many others, should not be left flexible to the decision of the Legislature at such time as they have the facts

in front of them, which we do not have now.