abuse of the legislative power.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ SAYRE: It would not be binding on the following session.

DR.MICHENER: The way this is worded now seems to me to be ambiguous. The way it is stated it could be ratified at the same time as the Constitution which implies you might have separate vote. You want to be attached to the proposed Constitution at the time it, referring to the proposed Constitution, is

to be a serious mistake because if this has the
effect of a general law you should not have votes cast
against the Constitution because the electorate doesn't

submitted to the electorate to be ratified. It seems

like the general statute which can be amended. I don't think it should be submitted at all because if people don't like these provisions the way they vote against them is to vote against the Constitution and you will compound your negative vote.

MR. BROOKS: The practice of having schedules has been rather spotted in other states. The statutory authorization has been almost nonexistent for